

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application. The following listing provides the added and amended claims with the amendments marked with deleted material in crossed out and new material underlined to show the changes made.

Listing of Claims:

Claims 1-26 (Previously Canceled).

27. (Currently Amended) For a router that hierarchically defines routes for nets within a region of ~~an~~ a design layout, the router (i) partitioning the region into a first set of sub-regions and (ii) for each particular net identifying a route that traverses a set of the first-set sub-regions, a method of propagating the routes comprising:

a) partitioning the first set of sub-regions into a second set of smaller sub-regions;

b) identifying a plurality of propagation possibilities for propagating each route into the second set of smaller sub-regions of the first set sub-regions;

c) formulating a linear-programming ("LP") problem based on the identified propagation possibilities;

d) solving the LP problem to propagate the routes into the second set of smaller sub-regions.

28. (Original) The method of claim 27 wherein formulating an LP problem includes using the propagation possibilities to specify an objective function to optimize.

29. (Original) The method of claim 28, wherein the objective function minimizes the overall wirelength necessary for routing the identified propagation possibilities.

30. (Original) The method of claim 28,

a) wherein identifying for each particular route a plurality of propagation possibilities comprises:

identifying a plurality of propagation configurations in each incident first set sub-region traversed by the first route, wherein each propagation configuration in each incident sub-region includes a unique combination of propagation possibilities for each path of the particular route that is incident on the incident sub-region;

10 computing the cost of each identified propagation configuration;

b) wherein the objective function is specified by using each propagation configuration and the cost of each propagation configuration.

31. (Original) The method of claim 30,

a) wherein each net includes a set of pins;

15 b) wherein identifying the propagation possibilities further comprises:

for each particular identified propagation configuration in each particular first set sub-region, identifying a particular pin configuration that accounts for the particular propagation configuration in the particular first set sub-region;

c) wherein computing the cost for each particular propagation configuration comprises using the propagation configuration's pin configuration to compute a cost of a route necessary for routing the pin configuration;

d) wherein the objective function includes the routing cost of each
5 propagation configuration.

32. (Original) The method of claim 27 wherein formulating an LP problem includes specifying a number of constraints.

33. (Original) The method of claim 32, wherein one of the constraints is to select only one propagation configuration

10 34. (Original) The method of claim 32,

wherein identifying the plurality of propagation possibilities comprises identifying sets of propagation possibilities for propagating each route in each sub-region traversed by the route;

wherein formulating the LP problem comprises specifying a propagation-
15 consistency constraint that ensures that solving the LP problem results in a consistent values for the sets of propagation possibilities identified for each route in each sub-region traversed by the route.

35. (Original) The method of claim 32, wherein one of the constraints is a capacity constraint that ensures that areas between the second set of sub-regions are not
20 overcongested.

36. (Original) A method of routing nets within a particular region of an integrated circuit ("IC") layout, each net having a set of pins, the method comprising:

- a) partitioning the particular IC region into a first set of sub-regions;
- b) for each particular net, identifying a route that connects a set of sub-
5 regions containing the particular net's pins;
- c) partitioning the sub-regions into a second set of smaller sub-regions;
- d) identifying a plurality of propagation permutations for propagating each route into the second set of smaller sub-region;
- e) formulating a linear-programming ("LP") problem based on the identified
10 propagation permutations; and
- f) solving the LP problem to select one identified propagation permutation for each route in each sub-region traversed by the route.

37. (Original) The method of claim 36, wherein a plurality of paths exist between the first set of sub-regions, each particular route is defined with respect to the paths traversed by
15 the particular route, and the paths include diagonal paths.

38. (Original) The method of claim 37, wherein a plurality of paths exist between the second set of sub-regions, wherein a plurality of the paths between the second set of sub-regions are diagonal, wherein some of the identified propagation permutations traverse the diagonal paths between the second set of smaller sub-regions.

39. (Original) The method of claim 38, wherein some of the diagonal paths traversed by the identified propagation permutations are for propagating diagonal paths of routes between the first set of sub-regions.

40. (Original) The method of claim 38, wherein some of the diagonal paths traversed by the identified propagation permutations are for propagating Manhattan paths of routes between the first set of sub-regions.

41. (Original) The method of claim 36, wherein a plurality of edges exist between the first set of sub-regions, each particular route is defined with respect to the edges intersected by the particular route, and the edges include diagonal edges.

42. (Original) The method of claim 41, wherein a plurality of edges exist between the second set of sub-regions, wherein a plurality of the edges between the second set of sub-regions are diagonal, wherein some of the identified propagation permutations intersect the diagonal edges between the second set of smaller sub-regions.

43. (Original) The method of claim 42, wherein some of the diagonal edges intersected by the identified propagation permutations are for propagating diagonal edges of routes between the first set of sub-regions.

44. (Original) The method of claim 42, wherein some of the diagonal edges intersected by the identified propagation permutations are for propagating Manhattan edges of routes between the first set of sub-regions.

45. (Original) The method of claim 36, wherein the formulated LP problem is an integer-linear-programming ("ILP") problem, and the solving of the ILP problem returns integer

solutions that specify one propagation permutation for each route in each first-set sub-region traversed by the route.

46. (Original) The method of claim 36, wherein the solving of the LP problem returns real-numbered solutions, wherein the method further comprises converting the real-number solutions into integer solutions that specify one identified propagation permutation for each route in each first-set sub-region traversed by the route.

47. (Original) The method of claim 36, wherein the method identifies a first route for a first net, said first route having a plurality of paths incident on a plurality of sub-regions in the first set, wherein, for the first route, each propagation permutation in each particular incident sub-region includes one propagation possibility for each of the first-route's paths that are incident on the particular sub-region.

48. (Currently Amended) For a router that hierarchically defines routes for nets within a region of a design layout, the router (i) partitioning the region into a first set of sub-regions and (ii) for each particular net identifying a route that traverses a set of the first-set sub-regions, a computer readable medium comprising a computer program having executable code, the computer program for propagating the routes, the computer program comprising:

a) a first set of instructions for partitioning the first set of sub-regions into a second set of smaller sub-regions;

b) a second set of instructions for identifying a plurality of propagation possibilities for propagating each route into the second set of smaller sub-regions of the first set sub-regions;

c) a third set of instructions for formulating a linear-programming ("LP") problem based on the identified propagation possibilities;

d) a fourth set of instructions for solving the LP problem to propagate the routes into the second set of smaller sub-regions.

5 49. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 48 wherein the third set of instructions includes a fifth set of instructions for using the propagation possibilities to specify an objective function to optimize.

50. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 49,

a) wherein the second set of instructions includes:

10 a sixth set of instructions for identifying a plurality of propagation configurations in each incident first set sub-region traversed by the first route, wherein each propagation configuration in each incident sub-region includes a unique combination of propagation possibilities for each path of the particular route that is incident on the incident sub-region;

15 a seventh set of instructions for computing the cost of each identified propagation configuration;

b) wherein the fifth set of instructions includes an eight set of instructions for using each propagation configuration and the cost of each propagation configuration to specify the objective function.

20 51. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 50,

a) wherein each net includes a set of pins;

b) wherein the second set of instructions includes:

a ninth set of instructions for identifying, for each particular identified propagation configuration in each particular first set sub-region, a particular pin configuration
5 that accounts for the particular propagation configuration in the particular first set sub-region;

c) wherein the seventh set of instructions comprises a tenth set of instructions for using the propagation configuration's pin configuration to compute a cost of a route necessary for routing the pin configuration;

d) wherein the objective function includes the routing cost of each
10 propagation configuration.

52. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 51, wherein the third set of instructions includes a fifth set of instructions for specifying a number of constraints.

53. (New) A computer readable medium comprising a computer program having executable code, the computer program for routing nets within a particular region of an
15 integrated circuit ("IC") layout, each net having a set of pins, the program comprising sets of instructions for:

a) partitioning the particular IC region into a first set of sub-regions;

b) for each particular net, identifying a route that connects a set of sub-regions containing the particular net's pins;

20 c) partitioning the sub-regions into a second set of smaller sub-regions;

d) identifying a plurality of propagation permutations for propagating each route into the second set of smaller sub-region;

e) formulating a linear-programming ("LP") problem based on the identified propagation permutations; and

5 f) solving the LP problem to select one identified propagation permutation for each route in each sub-region traversed by the route.

54. (New) The computer readable medium of claim 53, wherein a plurality of paths exist between the first set of sub-regions, each particular route is defined with respect to the paths traversed by the particular route, and the paths include diagonal paths.

10 55. (New) The computer readable medium of claim 54, wherein a plurality of paths exist between the second set of sub-regions, wherein a plurality of the paths between the second set of sub-regions are diagonal, wherein some of the identified propagation permutations traverse the diagonal paths between the second set of smaller sub-regions.

15 56. (New) The computer readable medium of claim 55, wherein some of the diagonal paths traversed by the identified propagation permutations are for propagating diagonal paths of routes between the first set of sub-regions.

57. (New) The computer readable medium of claim 55, wherein some of the diagonal paths traversed by the identified propagation permutations are for propagating Manhattan paths of routes between the first set of sub-regions.

58. (New) The computer readable medium of claim 53, wherein a plurality of edges exist between the first set of sub-regions, each particular route is defined with respect to the edges intersected by the particular route, and the edges include diagonal edges.

59. (New) The computer readable medium of claim 58, wherein a plurality of edges exist between the second set of sub-regions, wherein a plurality of the edges between the second set of sub-regions are diagonal, wherein some of the identified propagation permutations intersect the diagonal edges between the second set of smaller sub-regions.

60. (New) The computer readable medium of claim 59, wherein some of the diagonal edges intersected by the identified propagation permutations are for propagating diagonal edges of routes between the first set of sub-regions.

61. (New) The computer readable medium of claim 59, wherein some of the diagonal edges intersected by the identified propagation permutations are for propagating Manhattan edges of routes between the first set of sub-regions.

62. (New) The computer readable medium of claim 53, wherein the formulated LP problem is an integer-linear-programming ("ILP") problem, and the set of instructions for solving of the ILP problem returns integer solutions that specify one propagation permutation for each route in each first-set sub-region traversed by the route.

63. (New) The computer readable medium of claim 53, wherein the set of instructions for solving of the LP problem returns real-numbered solutions, wherein the program includes a set of instructions for converting the real-number solutions into integer solutions that specify one identified propagation permutation for each route in each first-set sub-region traversed by the route.

64. (New) The computer readable medium of claim 53, wherein the program identifies a first route for a first net, said first route having a plurality of paths incident on a plurality of sub-regions in the first set, wherein, for the first route, each propagation permutation in each particular incident sub-region includes one propagation possibility for each of the first-
5 route's paths that are incident on the particular sub-region.